THREAT ASSESSMENT EVALUATION RUBRIC	(as of 25 Nov 2014)
-------------------------------------	---------------------

Class #

Student #	
-----------	--

Score:	
--------	--



Evaluator:

Student Name: ____

Task: Mission 1____

Note to Instructors:

Mission 2____ Mission 3 ____ Other (Name) _

1. Use this RUBRIC to assess student's ability to make a CIED threat assessment during the Situational Training Exercises (STX).

This rubric supports the following course outcome: Assess the IED threat as an advisor to a leader.
 Provide a copy of this rubric to the students ahead of time and encourage them to use it as a check list. Provide your final score and feedback upon completion.

	PROFICIENT	MARGINAL	ovide your final score and feedback upon completi NEEDS IMPROVEMENT	
	(100-90%)	(89-80%)	(79% - and below)	SCORE
THREAT ANALYSIS		(89-80 %)		
INKEAT ANALTSIS		Descented a construct of all an existing and	Did act account a class science and/or did act	
	Presented a <u>clear</u> mission and the proposed routes (primary and at least	Presented <u>a somewhat clear mission and</u> proposed routes (primary and at least two	<u>Did not present a clear mission and/or did not</u> propose routes (primary and at least two	
States Mission	two alternates) to include codenames,	alternates) and somewhat included	alternates) to include codenames, checkpoints,	
olates mission	checkpoints, etc.	codenames, checkpoints, etc.	etc.	
	The Threat Background identified	The Threat Background somewhat identified	The Threat Background <u>did not</u> identify previous	
	previous IED history and included type	previous IED history and <u>somewhat</u> included	IED history and/or did not include type of IED,	
Threat Background	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		time date group, affects, purpose, etc.	
Throat Baokground	purpose, etc.	etc.	3	
	Presented an in-depth brief to the	Presented a somewhat complete brief to the	Did not present a complete brief to the	
	commander on current situation which	commander on current situation which could	commander on current situation which could	
	could effect IED activity such as recent	effect IED activity such as recent cache finds,	effect IED activity. and/or did not include	
Current Situation	cache finds, new road construction,	new road construction, populace mode, etc.	important details such as recent cache finds,	
	populace mode, etc.		new road construction, populace mode, etc.	
Nino Drineintee et	<u>Considered</u> the <u>nine principles</u> of	Somewhat considered the nine principles	Did not consider the <u>nine principles</u> of IED	
Nine Principles of IED	IED combat to do the threat assessment; i.e., is the enemy	of IED combat to do the threat assessment; i.e., is the enemy	combat to do the threat assessment and/or did not have accurate information; i.e., is the	
	1. Maintaining an offensive mindset?	1. Maintaining an offensive mindset?	enemv	
	2. Staying observant?	2. Staying observant?	1. Maintaining an offensive mindset?	
	3. Setting patterns?	3. Setting patterns?	2. Staying observant?	
	4. Maintaining standoff?	4. Maintaining standoff?	3. Setting patterns?	
	5. Developing and maintaining	5. Developing and maintaining situational	4. Maintaining standoff?	
	situational awareness?	awareness?	5. Developing and maintaining situational	
	6. Utilizing blast/fragmentation	6. Utilizing blast/fragmentation protection?	awareness?	
	protection? 7. Maintaining 360–degree security?	 Maintaining 360–degree security? Maintaining tactical dispersion? 	6. Utilizing blast/fragmentation protection?7. Maintaining 360–degree security?	
	8. Maintaining tactical dispersion?	9. Utilizing technology?	8. Maintaining tactical dispersion?	
	9. Utilizing technology?	3. Othering technology!	9. Utilizing technology?	
THREAT ASSESSM				
C-IED threat	Presented an in-depth METT-TC and	Presented a somewhat complete METT-TC	Did not present a METT-TC and OAKOC to help	
assessment	OAKOC to help make a tentative plan.	and OAKOC to help make a tentative plan.	make a tentative plan but lacks essential details,	
(Threat Triad)	The plan <u>is accurate</u> .	The plan is somewhat accurate.	and/or the plan was not accurate.	
(Threat That)				
		Somewhat determined what the enemy is	Did not determine what the enemy is trying to	
— • • • •	to achieve by their actions:	trying to achieve by their actions:	achieve by their actions, <u>and/or</u> did not have	
(Enemy Intent)		-Disrupt, embarrass, intimidate, or gain	sufficient details or accurate information on:	
	publicity kill and maim Coalition Forces/Host Nation Forces	publicity kill and maim Coalition Forces/Host Nation Forces	-Disrupt, embarrass, intimidate, or gain publicity kill and maim Coalition Forces/Host Nation	
	-Target specialized patrols, (Route	-Target specialized patrols, (Route Clearance	Forces	
	Clearance Patrols - RCPs)	Patrols - RCPs)	-Target specialized patrols, (Route Clearance	
	-Target first responders (EOD and	-Target first responders (EOD and	Patrols - RCPs)	
	CASEVAC)	CASEVAC)	-Target first responders (EOD and CASEVAC)	
	-Targets of opportunity (logistic	-Targets of opportunity (logistic convoys,	-Targets of opportunity (logistic convoys, vehicle	
	convoys, vehicle recovery)	vehicle recovery)	recovery)	
	Determined the likely method of	Somewhat determined the likely method of	Did not determine the likely method of attack	
	attack the enemy may employ based		the enemy may employ <u>and/or did not</u> have	
(Type of Threat)	on his capabilities and limitations:	capabilities and limitations:	sufficient details or accurate information on:	
· · · ·	-Public disorder, hoax	-Public disorder, hoax	-Public disorder, hoax	
	-Shoot/sniper/Indirect fire	-Shoot/sniper/Indirect fire	-Shoot/sniper/Indirect fire	
	-VOIED, RCIED/CWIED	-VOIED, RCIED/CWIED	-VOIED, RCIED/CWIED	
	Determined most likely place where	Somewhat determined most likely place	Did not determine most likely place where	
	the attack may take place. Took in		the attack may take place. And/or did not take	
	consideration that the enemy thinks	took in consideration that the enemy thinks	in consideration that the enemy thinks tactically	
(Location of	tactically and will employ his available	tactically and will employ his available	and will employ his available resources in areas	
Threat)	resources in areas with the highest		with the highest probability of success including	
,	probability of success including VA/VP	of success including VA/VP locations.	VA/VP locations.	
	locations.			

Recommended a Course of Action (COA) based on the IED threat which should minimize IED contact. The COA is feasible, acceptable, suitable, distinguishable and complete. Also recommended an in-depth list of enablers.Recommended a somewhat adequate Course of Action (COA) based on the IED threat which should minimize IED contact. The COA is feasible, acceptable, suitable, distinguishable and complete. Also recommended an in-depth list of enablers.Recommended a somewhat adequate Course of Action (COA) based on the IED threat which should minimize IED contact. The COA is feasible, acceptable, suitable, distinguishable and complete. Also recommended an in-depth list of enablers.Did not recommended a COA that was is not feasible, acceptable, suitable, distinguishable and complete. Also recommended an in-depth list of enablers.Did not recommended a COA that was is not feasible, acceptable, suitable, distinguishable and complete. Also recommended an in-depth list of enablers.Did not recommended a COA that was is not feasible, acceptable, suitable, distinguishable and complete. And/or recommended an in-depth list of enablers.Did not recommended an in-depth list of enablers.Did not recommended an in-depth list of enablers.TOTAL POINTSImage: District Complete interval of the complete interval of		PROFICIENT	MARGINAL	NEEDS IMPROVEMENT	
CONCLUSION Did not present a complete enemy Course of Action (COA) including most probable and most dangerous COAs. Presented an adequate enemy Course of Action (COA) including most probable and most dangerous COAs. Did not present a complete enemy Course of Action (COA) that include most probable and most dangerous. And/or did not have accurate COA information. Recommendation Recommended a Course of Action (COA) based on the IED threat which should minimize IED contact. The COA is feasible, acceptable, suitable, distinguishable and complete. Also recommended an in-depth list of enablers. Recommended an in-depth list of enablers. Did not present a complete nemy Course of Action (COA) that include most probable and most dangerous. And/or did not have accurate COA information. TOTAL GRADE Presented an in-depth list of Recommended an in-depth list of enablers. Recommended an in-depth list of enablers.		(100-90%)	(89-80%)	(79% - and below)	SCORE
Presented an in-depth enemy Course of Action (COA) including most probable and most dangerous COAs.Presented an adequate enemy Course of Action (COA) including most probable and most dangerous COAs.Did not present a complete enemy Course of Action (COA) that include most probable and most dangerous. And/or did not have accurate COA information.Recommended a Course of Action (COA) based on the IED threat which should minimize IED contact. The COA is feasible, acceptable, suitable, distinguishable and complete. Also recommended an in-depth list of enablers.Recommended a Course of Action (COA) based on the IED threat which should minimize IED contact. The COA is feasible, acceptable, suitable, distinguishable and complete. Also recommended an in-depth list of enablers.Recommended a course of Action (COA) based on the IED threat which should minimize IED contact. The COA is feasible, acceptable, suitable, distinguishable and complete. Also recommended an in-depth list of enablers.Did not recommend an adequate Course of Action (COA) based on the IED threat which should minimize IED contact. The COA is feasible, acceptable, suitable, distinguishable and complete. Also recommended an in-depth list of enablers.TOTAL GRADEImage: Description of the text of t	CONCLUSION				
Recommendation(COA) based on the IED threat which should minimize IED contact. The COA is feasible, acceptable, suitable, distinguishable and complete. Also recommended an in-depth list of enablers.of Action (COA) based on the IED threat which should minimize IED contact. The COA is feasible, acceptable, suitable, distinguishable and complete. Also recommended an in-depth list of enablers.Action (COA) based on the IED threat which should minimize IED contact. And/or recommended a COA that was is not feasible, acceptable, suitable, distinguishable and complete. Also recommended an in-depth list of enablers.Action (COA) based on the IED threat which should minimize IED contact. And/or recommended a COA that was is not feasible, acceptable, suitable, distinguishable and complete. And/or recommended an in-depth list of enablers.Action (COA) based on the IED threat which should minimize IED contact.Action (COA) based on the IED threat which should minimize IED contact.TOTAL POINTSImage: Complete commended complete		Action (COA) including most probable	Action (COA) including most probable and	Action (COA) that include most probable and most dangerous. <u>And/or did not</u> have accurate	
TOTAL GRADE	Recommendation	(COA) based on the IED threat which should minimize IED contact. The COA is feasible, acceptable, suitable, distinguishable and complete. Also recommended an in-depth list of	of Action (COA) based on the IED threat which should minimize IED contact. The COA is feasible, acceptable, suitable, distinguishable and complete. Also recommended an in-depth	Action (COA) based on the IED threat which should minimize IED contact. <u>And/or</u> recommended a COA that was <u>is not</u> feasible, acceptable, suitable, distinguishable and complete. <u>And/or</u> recommended an <u>incomplete</u>	
	TOTAL DOINTS				
	IUTAL PUINTS				
	TOTAL GRADE	ENTS : (Comments are required if the stu	udent overall score is "Needs Improvement").		